

Assessment, Moderation, and Progress Policy

Table of Contents

1. Purpose and Scope	3
2. Objectives	3
2.1. Well-designed assessment.....	3
2.2. Valid and reliable assessment.....	3
2.3. Timely information.....	3
2.4. Fair and equitable treatment.....	3
2.5. Academic integrity and misconduct	4
3. Implementation	4
4. Procedure	4
4.1. Assessment.....	4
4.2. Grading Codes	4
4.3. Assessment Tasks	4
Types of Assessment Tasks	5
Summative Assessment.....	5
Summative Non-Examination Tasks	5
Examinations	5
Formative Assessment.....	5
Group Assessments	5
Tutorial Participation.....	5
Assignment Referencing and Submission	5
Assessment Due Dates	6
Late Assignments.....	6
Absent for In-class Assessments.....	6
4.4. Feedback to Students.....	7
4.5. Examination Rules and Procedures	7
Attendance at Examinations	7
Admission to the Examination Room.....	7
Conduct of Students.....	7
Material or Equipment in the Examination Room.....	7
Leaving the Examination room.....	7
Deferred Examination	7
Special Consideration	7
4.6. Moderation.....	8
Examiners' Moderation Meeting.....	8
4.7. Appeals against Marks or Grades.....	9
4.8. Students at Risk of Unsatisfactory Progress	9
Monitoring Progress	9
Mechanisms	9
At Risk Identification	10
Risk Status	10
Unsatisfactory progress	11
Domestic FEE-HELP students	11
International students.....	11
Student Progress Reporting	11
Interventions and Support.....	12
Further Action: probation and show cause	12
4.9. Appeals	12
5. Definitions	13

6. Related Documents15

7. Review15

8. Accountabilities.....15

9. Revision History15

1. Purpose and Scope

The policy provides a framework for maintaining and enforcing the standards of the Conservatory's assessments and outlines the procedures for ensuring the implementation of these standards. Specific assessment requirements are contained in the unit outlines.

This policy applies to all members of the Conservatory's higher education community.

2. Objectives

This policy outlines:

- Assessment and grades
- Moderation
- Student progression
- Students at risk
- Academic misconduct

The Conservatory will adhere to the following principles:

2.1. Well-designed assessment

- Assessment tasks align with unit and course learning outcomes and incorporates graduate attributes.
- A range of assessment types are used, both formative and summative, including alternative assessment methods for students with a disability, where appropriate. Formative assessment is ongoing for the purpose of feedback and summative assessment is utilised for assessment/grading.
- Assessments are designed to enable students to receive feedback at an early stage as appropriate to the unit (for example, before week 5).
- Assessment task weighting is commensurate with the complexity and effort required to complete the task.
- Scheduling of assessment due dates considers student workload to ensure it is manageable.
- Assessments will be reviewed prior to their release to students to ensure they reflect good practice.

2.2. Valid and reliable assessment

- Moderation of assessment is conducted using grading criteria to achieve consistency of outcomes.
- Assessment grade cut-offs are published.
- External moderation is utilised to benchmark assessment criteria and outcomes.

2.3. Timely information

- Assessment criteria are made available to students early in the trimester or at least well prior to the assessment being undertaken.
- Feedback on assessment is constructive and timely.
- Penalties for late submission are published and applied consistently.

2.4. Fair and equitable treatment

- Students are treated fairly and equitably.
- Assessment judgements are made against stated criteria to ensure consistency of assessment outcomes.
- Mechanisms are in place to identify and monitor student progress.
- Students are advised of unsatisfactory progress in a timely manner and offered support.

- Students are made aware of the grievance processes and how to appeal assessment marks and grades.
- Appeal processes apply the principles of natural justice.

2.5. Academic integrity and misconduct

- Students are responsible for academic honesty and ensuring that all assessment submitted is their own work.
- Plagiarism and academic honesty are monitored to ensure academic integrity.
- Appropriate penalties are in place that are administered fairly.

3. Implementation

Implementation is to be carried out by all academic teaching staff under the leadership of relevant Unit Coordinators and the Director of Higher Education.

4. Procedure

4.1. Assessment

All lecturers and tutors will ensure that they adhere to this policy when developing and marking assessment items and providing feedback to students.

4.2. Grading Codes

Grades will be awarded in accordance with the table of grades in this policy. To pass a unit, students must attempt all assessment tasks and achieve an overall pass mark of 50% or higher. The system of grades that apply to learning achievement in assessment tasks and in units of study will be **High Distinction (HD)**, **Distinction (D)**, **Credit (C)**, **Pass (P)**, **Fail (F)**, **Fail Non-Submission (FNS)** and **Withdrawn (W)**.

The following grades will apply to all assessments throughout the higher education courses offered at The Conservatory:

Result	Grade	Grade (numeric)
>85%	High Distinction (HD)	7
70 – 79%	Distinction (D)	6
60 – 69%	Credit (C)	5
50 – 59%	Pass (P)	4
<50%	Fail (F)	0
<50%	Fail Non-submission (FNS)	0
	Withdrawn (W)	

4.3. Assessment Tasks

Assessment items for each unit will be designed in accordance with the course learning outcomes and graduate attributes. Lecturers work with the Director of Higher Education to ensure that assessment tasks are appropriate. A range of assessment types are to be developed for each unit that are appropriate for the unit content and will assess students' achievement of the learning outcomes. Assessment criteria are to be provided for each unit, and assessment tasks are to contain the appropriate level of complexity for the year level and to reflect an appropriate workload for the unit based on the credit points allocated. All units must have a unit outline that details unit rationales, learning outcomes, content, delivery and assessment.

Further details such as mapping to learning outcomes, weighting and assessment details must also be provided.

All practical assessments will be assessed by a panel comprising a minimum of two academic staff members.

Changes to assessment may only be made under exceptional circumstances and with the approval of the Director of Higher Education. Students are to be notified of the change as soon as possible so that students are not disadvantaged. Changes to units must be approved by the Academic Board and recorded in the Feedback and Improvements Register. Significant changes may require a Material Change to be submitted to TEQSA for approval. A full review of units and courses is conducted for the renewal of course accreditation submission to TEQSA when it is due.

Assessment details will be made available to students at the commencement of each term. Final students' results will be published after they have been ratified by the Academic Board.

Types of Assessment Tasks

The following types of assessment tasks are applicable depending upon the purpose of the task (i.e. summative or formative) and whether or not the task is undertaken under examination conditions. Methods of assessment are consistent with the learning outcomes being assessed.

Summative Assessment

All summative assessments should address the learning outcomes listed in the unit outline and will be used to determine the final grade for the course. A 10-cp unit should have a maximum of (4) summative tasks (excluding progressive tasks), but including any examinations. No assessment task will be weighted at more than 50 percent of the overall unit weighting.

Summative Non-Examination Tasks

Assessment tasks designed for completion under non-examination conditions (e.g., assignments throughout a trimester of study, major papers, research reports or problem sets) provide information to enable judgement of a student's ability to achieve the learning outcomes of a course.

Examinations

Assessment using examination conditions (including invigilated exams and in-class tests) should be at a level consistent with the expectations of the course and will employ an appropriate range of assessment tools and strategies.

Formative Assessment

Formative assessment tasks, which may include class discussion activities and online quizzes, allow for feedback on progress towards achieving the learning outcomes of a unit. These do not contribute to the calculation of the final mark and grade.

Group Assessments

Group assessments must contain a component/s that can be assessed individually. A maximum of 35% of the assessment marks for the unit may be allocated as a group mark. Prior to undertaking group assessment, students must be informed of how the individual marks will be determined.

Tutorial Participation

Tutorials are an important part of the learning process. Students are expected to actively attend and participate in all tutorials.

Assignment Referencing and Submission

Students are required to use the Harvard referencing system when referencing a direct source or paraphrasing other person's work. Students are to use footnotes and bibliographies to comply with

expectations of an academic paper. Students are to complete all assessment in the format specified in the unit outline and include details of their name; unit name; lecturer's name; unit code; student ID; and the assessment topic.

Cover pages for assignments should contain the following information:

- Student name and number
- Unit name
- Lecturer's name
- Title of assignment
- Date due

Students must keep a copy of all assignments submitted for assessment.

Copyright and academic integrity (such as plagiarism and/or contract cheating) rules apply. The Conservatory sets work for assessment with the expectation that it is either:

- the individual effort of the student.
- effort of an individual student who may discuss with others to better understand the topic, but who is responsible for submitting an individual piece of work.
- sole effort of the student based on an answer given in course materials.

Acknowledgement of all sources used in an assignment or work submitted for assessment in any written essay is expected by the Conservatory. It is considered as plagiarism when work is not sourced. Work that is not completed by a student yet deliberately submitted as that student's work is considered as cheating.

Moodle contains the following statement for students to accept when submitting assignments.

This assignment is my own work, except where I have acknowledged the use of the works of other people.

Note: Moodle is the Learning Management System (LMS) and is the prime means of academic communication.. Official results are published on the Student Management Systems (SMS) following a process of review, approval and ratification.

Assessment Due Dates

Students must hand in all assessment items by the due date specified.

Late Assignments

Late submissions handed in without prior consultation with the unit lecturer will result in penalties being imposed.

Students will receive a 5% decrease in their mark for every day a non-practical/performance assessment is late. If a student does not show up for a Practical/Performance, Rehearsal, or Presentation assessment, they will be automatically awarded a fail. If an extension has been applied for and granted (due to extenuating circumstances) before the due date for all assessment types, then the penalty does not apply. If students fail to hand in their assignment by the granted extension date, then the 5% decrease in marks applies from the granted extension due date.

Students can only obtain a maximum of 50% if an assignment is more than 2 weeks late, noting that this does not apply to approved assignment submission extensions.

Absent for In-class Assessments

Students are expected to be present for all in-class assessments including, but not limited to, mid-trimester exams, presentations and practical skills tests. Failure to attend the assessment may result in a fail grade for the assessment task. Students must notify the unit lecturer of their intended absence in advance and produce a valid reason in writing. If students encounter extenuating circumstances and cannot notify the Assessment, Moderation and Progress Policy v3.0

lecturer prior to the in-class assessment, students must show cause for special consideration within 48 hours following the assessment task.

4.4. Feedback to Students

Feedback may be provided in a few ways such as via verbal or written comments from lecturers directly on the assessment, or via Moodle. Feedback should be respectful, timely and in a form that will allow students to improve their learning, including suggestions for improvement. The criteria for assessment should be such that it is clear to students why they received their mark and how they could improve next time. Feedback on summative assessment should be provided to students within two weeks of the due date for the assessment item, or at least two weeks prior to the next assessment item due date. All trimester feedback should be provided to student before the final examination.

4.5. Examination Rules and Procedures

Attendance at Examinations

Students are required to attend all examinations. Students will receive a fail for an examination unless they produce evidence of a valid reason for being absent in writing, such as a doctor's certificate for illness, or other evidence of an event that was beyond their control.

Admission to the Examination Room (where applicable)

Students are to be respectful of other students and upon entering the exam room they are to go directly to their seats and must present their Student ID before admission to the exam room. Students are not permitted to enter the exam room after fifteen minutes of the start of the exam.

The person supervising the examination distributes the exam papers at the commencement of the exam and then collects the completed papers at the end of the exam. Students may hand in papers if they are leaving the exam early. An exercise sheet may be distributed to students and then collected at the end of the exam.

Conduct of Students

Communication between students during an examination is not permitted. A student should raise their hand if they need to clarify a question on the examination only during perusal time. Any student found cheating or disrupting other students will be required to leave the room and forfeit the chance of completing their examination. Disciplinary action is to be determined by the Director of Higher Education.

Material or Equipment in the Examination Room

- Students are permitted to bring bottles of water into the exam room.
- Students are not to bring anything other than a pen, pencil, paper, and eraser. Bags are to be left with the supervisor.
- Electronic devices, including phones and devices that connect to the internet, are not permitted and must be turned off prior to entering the exam room, unless they are required for the exam.

Leaving the Examination room

Students may leave the exam room upon completing their exam, but no earlier than 30 minutes before the stated end time.

Deferred Examination

A student can request to defer examinations through illness, misadventure, or other approved reason. Requests may be made in writing to the Director of Higher Education. The Director of Higher Education will notify the student when the next possible time the examination can be held if the request is approved. A new examination must be developed for the deferred examination.

Special Consideration

A student may apply for special consideration if they fall ill during an examination and need to leave early. Other unforeseen circumstances that may affect a student's results, such as a death in the family, may also be grounds for special consideration. Written evidence must accompany an application for special consideration, such as a medical certificate, death certificate.

4.6. Moderation

Moderation of assessment and grades is a quality assurance strategy directed at ensuring the reliability and validity of assessment in units. It tests whether the standard of marking across particular assessment components is appropriate and consistent. Moderation is required for all summative assessment tasks and moderation activities should include all teaching staff involved in the unit.

The Academic Board is responsible for oversight of moderation of assessment. The Director of Higher Education is responsible for ensuring that moderation processes are implemented. The Academic Board reviews the Moderation Report from the Director of Higher Education and ratifies the results for publication.

Operational responsibility is devolved to the Director of Higher Education (DoHE) unless the DoHE is the single teacher and marker in the unit, in which case moderation is devolved to the relevant discipline Course Coordinator.

Effective moderation requires:

- That the objectives of the assessment component, and the criteria on which marks will be awarded, are explicit and well justified, and are well explained to both students and markers.
- That clear, well-understood marking guides are used.
- That there is a mutually agreed and timely process of feedback to ensure that corrections to marking strategies or levels of assessment (i.e. "soft" versus "hard" marking) can be appropriately applied.

Moderation activities will occur each trimester for all higher education units offered. Collaborative activities will occur throughout the trimester to ensure that effective and consistent assessment occurs.

At the commencement of each trimester, the DoHE will meet with teaching staff to discuss assessment expectations. Any new academic staff will be provided with exemplars of students' work from previous trimesters, where possible, so that they understand the levels required. A moderation schedule will be developed to determine the assessment items that will be cross-marked internally and externally. A sample of written assessments will be cross-marked blindly.

If concerns are identified during the trimester, the DoHE is responsible for initiating discussions to investigate concerns. If systemic issues arise, then further investigation is required to determine a solution. The Director of Higher Education must be satisfied that the solution will minimise the risk of the issue continuing.

The Academic Board may request an external review of assessment with other higher education providers to ensure assessments are at the appropriate standard.

Examiners' Moderation Meeting

Lecturers must submit all assessment results in an Excel spreadsheet to the Director of Higher Education. The Director of Higher Education will check all results and investigate reasons for any student who does not have a result.

The Board of Studies will hold an examiners' meeting at the end of each trimester for the purpose of moderating assessment. Items for discussion will include any major discrepancies arising from cross-

marking (differences of more than 10% between the original mark and the cross-marked item). Borderline grades will also be discussed to determine whether supplementary assessment is warranted or conducting a re-assessment is appropriate. A report from the meeting will be prepared for review by the Academic Board and for determining the approval of grades before publishing the grades for students.

Students receive all official results online through the Student Management System (SMS).

4.7. Appeals against Marks or Grades

Students can request a review of a mark or grade if they believe that an error has been made, or if they believe that the assessment has been unjustly marked. The student should discuss the issue with the relevant lecturer in the first instance and request a review of the mark. The re-mark will be undertaken by the second examiner appointed at the commencement of the semester.

If this does not resolve the issue, then the following formal processes should occur:

- the student should submit a formal request for a remark to the lecturer. Another lecturer will be requested to mark the assessment and the new mark will apply (even if it is less than the original mark).
- If this does not resolve the issue, then the student may continue the grievance process in accordance with the Student Grievance Policy.

Members of staff involved should keep records of such discussions, including outcomes, for record keeping purposes.

4.8. Students at Risk of Unsatisfactory Progress

Students are responsible for:

- Their own learning and making satisfactory academic progress.
- Using assessment criteria when completing assessment tasks.
- Taking note of assessment feedback to improve results.
- Undertaking good study habits to achieve learning outcomes.
- Attending classes to meet the attendance requirements.
- Submitting assessment by due dates.
- Attending examinations.
- Notifying staff and seeking help if extenuating circumstances arise.

Monitoring Progress

The Director of Higher Education is responsible for managing student progress. The Academic Board has responsibility for oversight of student progress. The Conservatory is committed to monitoring student progress and ensuring that students who are potentially 'at risk' of unsatisfactory progress are identified, monitored and offered support throughout their entire enrolment period, from admission to graduation. Individual student records on student progress will be maintained on the student's file and in the Student Management System. Course progress monitoring of all students, including international students will be in accordance with Standard 8.8 of the National Code 2018.

Mechanisms

The Conservatory uses a number of mechanisms to notify and assist students at risk of unsatisfactory progress where there is evidence from the student's assessment tasks, participation in teaching and learning activities or other indicators of academic progress that the student is at risk of not progressing satisfactorily. The following mechanisms are used:

- Providing entry processes that are fair and robust.
- Monitoring the progress of under-represented groups, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples or other minority groups.
- Outlining course progress requirements for students.
- Formative and summative assessment to identify issues early.
- Identifying, monitoring, reviewing students at risk using a Students at Risk Register, including:
 - determining the point at which a domestic or international student has failed to meet satisfactory course progress.
 - tracking extensions and supplementary assessments.
- Using the student at risk registers to determine strategies to improve student progress.
- Liaising with students regarding unsatisfactory progress.
- Providing support and assistance to students.
- Ensuring decision-making is transparent and fair.
- Regular staff meetings to discuss students at risk.
- Regular reviews of student cohorts via data collection and analysis of student attrition and progress rates using Student Retention Templates.

At Risk Identification

If a student is identified as at risk of making unsatisfactory progress, they will be notified by Student Administration that they have been placed on the Students at Risk Register. A student is considered 'at risk' of making unsatisfactory academic progress in a course if they:

- Have not met the standard entry requirements and have entered the course under an alternate pathway, such as by interview/audition.
- Fail an assessment or fail a unit.
- Fail an assessment or unit more than once.
- Allow their grade point average to fall below a pass grade.
- Do not meet attendance requirements; set at minimum of 80%.
- Will not complete the course within the maximum timeframe specified.

Risk Status

The Conservatory uses a system with four Risk Status levels as a means of identifying and determining support strategies. Students potentially 'at risk' are placed on the Students at Risk Register and monitored from the commencement of their course or from the time of being considered 'at risk'. Students on the Students at Risk Register will be encouraged to consult with Student Support Services to undertake support activities outlined by the Course Coordinator. The table below outlines the four Risk Status levels, the risk indicators and actions to be taken.

Risk Status Table

Risk Status	Indicator	Action
1.	Alternate entry pathway to course	Progress monitored
2.	Fails an assessment or has not met attendance requirements	Interview with Course Coordinator: Support Plan developed
3.	Grade point average (GPA) falls below a pass grade of 4; fails more than 50% of units attempted within a trimester; fails a unit more than once	Placed on probation: Interview with Director of Higher Education Intervention Strategy developed with Course Coordinator
4.	GPA remains below a pass grade of 4 for two trimesters	Show cause notice issued by Academic Board: Interview with Director of Higher Education and Course Coordinator

Unsatisfactory progress

Students will be deemed as making 'unsatisfactory progress' in accordance with Risk Status 3 if:

- They fail a unit more than once.
- Their grade point average falls below a pass grade of 4.
- They fail more than 50% of units attempted within a trimester.

Domestic FEE-HELP students

The Risk Status Table indicators and actions apply. In addition:

- Students at Risk Status 3, with a GPA below 4 who do not maintain a minimum pass grade may become ineligible for FEE-HELP, which may affect future enrolment. Students who do not maintain a minimum pass grade may be asked to consider part-time enrolment, where applicable, as an intervention strategy.

International students

The Risk Status Table indicators and actions apply. Any international student at risk of unsatisfactory progress, Risk Status 3, will be reviewed to determine if they will be able to complete the course within the expected duration stated on the Confirmation of Enrolment (CoE), to ensure that potential extensions of course duration are in accordance with Standard 8.16.2 of the National Code.

In accordance with Standard 8.13 of the National Code 2018, if an international student is deemed as making 'unsatisfactory progress' or 'unsatisfactory course attendance', they must be given a written notice as soon as practicable which:

8.13.1 notifies the overseas student that the registered provider intends to report the overseas student for unsatisfactory course progress or unsatisfactory course attendance.

8.13.2 informs the overseas student of the reasons for the intention to report.

8.13.3 advises the overseas student of their right to access the registered provider's complaints and appeals process, in accordance with Standard 10 (Complaints and appeals), within 20 working days.

Unsatisfactory progress or attendance must be reported in the Provider Registration and International Student Management System (PRISMS) in accordance with Standard 8.14 of the National Code.

Student Progress Reporting

The Conservatory will collect and monitor the following data for reporting to the Academic Board:

- The number of units passed and specified by the Conservatory as the minimum for a defined period.
- Compulsory units and professional experience (if indicated in unit outline and course requirements) that have been completed at the appropriate standard.
- Student attendance at compulsory teaching and assessment components of a unit
- The number of fail grades for each unit.
- Significant negative variations in a student's academic performance.
- Progress reports for individual students considered to be at risk and those deemed to have made 'unsatisfactory progress'.
- Progress reports of student cohorts including under-represented groups, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.
- Progress reports for each course.
- Any other progress issues that are observed.

Each trimester student attrition and progress data will be collected and analysed using Student Retention Templates and mechanisms within the Student Management System (SMS) to record and track student progress. The Student Retention Templates provide a means of analysing student attrition and progress via

various cohorts and entry pathways to provide rich data to assist with improving student retention. Outcomes will be reported to the Academic Board, together with any recommendations for improvement.

Interventions and Support

Support mechanisms are offered by the Conservatory to give students every opportunity of successfully completing the course. The Student Support Policy outlines the areas of assistance that are offered, including counselling.

Interventions are undertaken as soon as possible to assist students. Remedies include:

- Meeting with the student to discuss their progress and develop an agreed Support Plan or Intervention Strategy.
- Assignment extensions may be granted for up to 2 weeks.
- Academic support may be offered.
- Counselling support may be offered.
- English support may be offered.
- Other support, including referral to external support.

Further Action: probation and show cause

Risk Status 3 - The Director of Higher Education will write to students who have a risk status of 3 and advise they have been placed on probation and must sign and agree to an Intervention Strategy. Students will be afforded the opportunity to respond with evidence to demonstrate why they should not be placed on probation. Students with a risk status of 3 will be reported to the Academic Board as having made 'unsatisfactory progress', and if the student does not agree to sign an Intervention Strategy, they will be elevated to Risk Status 4.

Risk Status 4 - Students with a risk status of 4 have continued to make 'unsatisfactory progress' in a second consecutive trimester. The Academic Board will ask the student to show cause as to why they should not be excluded from their course of study.

The Academic Board will consider the student's response to the show cause request and consider all evidence provided by the student. This will include whether the circumstances outlined were outside the student's control, such as: health issues, unexpected family or employment issues, or any other unexpected event that could have affected previously satisfactory work.

The Chair of the Academic Board shall provide the Director of Higher Education with a list of students at Risk Status 4 whose progress was deemed unsatisfactory:

- but whose unsatisfactory progress should not be recorded or result in exclusion from the course.
- and whose unsatisfactory progress should be recorded but not result in exclusion from the course.
- and whose unsatisfactory progress should be recorded and result in exclusion from the course.

The Director of Higher Education will write to students on the list advising them of the outcome of the show cause action. The Director of Higher Education will advise students about the procedures for appealing the Academic Board's decision and advise that the principles of natural justice apply. The Director of Higher Education will implement the decision of the Academic Board, unless there an appeal has been lodged within the required timeframe.

A student who has been excluded from an academic course may apply for re-admission to that course or another course in accordance with the Conservatory's Admissions Policy.

4.9. Appeals

If a student is not satisfied with a decision, they may seek an appeal as outlined in the Student Grievance Policy.

5. Definitions

Academic Integrity: Demonstrating the values of honesty, trust, fairness, respect and responsibility in all academic endeavours, including preparing and presenting work for assessment as part of coursework or research.

At risk: Potentially not successfully progressing through a course of study and therefore not graduating from the course.

Assessment: A process used to determine student's achievement of expected learning outcomes and may include a range of written, oral and practical methods. It also includes gathering information from multiple and diverse sources in order to develop a deep understanding of what students know, understand, and can do with their knowledge as a result of educational experiences; and it culminates when assessment results are used to improve student learning.

Assessment Criteria: Specific student learning outcomes for assessment tasks.

Assessment Exemplars: Prime examples of what level of work constitutes a specific grade, such as the prime example of a Pass or Credit.

Assessment Moderation: The process for ensuring consistency of assessment marking across and within courses.

Benchmarking: The process of reviewing materials against what another equivalent Institution is delivering to determine the equivalence and relevancy of standards between institutions

Course: The course or other set of units, *units* of study/competency or other defined work requirements, the completion of which makes the student eligible for the granting of an Award or other formal record of achievement by the college.

Credit points: The value assigned to each unit. Students must complete the required number of credit points to be awarded a qualification. For example, for a 10-credit point value per unit, students must complete 240 credit points to be awarded with a two-year bachelor's degree.

Cross-marking: The process whereby another lecturer or other academic personnel marks the same piece of assessment, without knowing the student or the mark given by the first lecturer. It is a method of determining equivalence of assessment outcomes.

ESOS Act: The *Education Services for Overseas Students Act 2000*.

Exclusion: The cancellation of a student's enrolment in a *course*. The student may re-apply for entry into a course after a period of twelve (12) months.

Formative Assessment: Any assessment which is used to provide students with feedback about their progress throughout the trimester but is not used to calculate the final exit grade.

GPA: Grade point average.

International student: A person (whether within or outside Australia) who holds a student visa but does not include students of a kind prescribed in the regulations.

Learning outcomes: The expression of the set of knowledge, skills and the application of the knowledge and skills a person has acquired and is able to demonstrate as a result of learning.

Moderation: Moderation has several stages such as: planning to ensure consistency of marking by familiarising markers with marking processes; review during marking before marks or grades are finalised by checking or verification of assessment results by a properly qualified person or committee; post marking review to determine if improvements can be made to marking for the next term.

National Code: The National Code of Practice for Providers of Education and Training to Overseas Students in force under Part 4 of the ESOS Act.

Natural Justice: The principles of *natural justice* that decision makers under this policy must follow can be broadly summarized as follows:

(a) All parties to the matter(s) in dispute, including respondent(s), shall have a right to be heard before a decision is made, including the right to respond to any statements or evidence that may prejudice their case.

(b) All relevant submissions, information and evidence to be considered by the decision-maker should be disclosed, where requested, to all parties to the complaint prior to the hearing. Matters that are not relevant shall not be taken into account by the decision-maker.

(c) The decision maker/s shall not be biased or appear to be biased (by a reasonable and informed bystander) nor have a vested interest or personal involvement in the matter being considered.

(d) In addition to these principles of *natural justice*, there should be no undue delay in responding to complaints or appeals and all parties to such matters under this policy shall have the right to a representative of their choice, other than a currently practicing solicitor or barrister (except in extraordinary circumstances at a hearing with the prior leave of the Chair)

Plagiarism: Using another person's ideas, designs, words or works without appropriate acknowledgement.

PRISMS: The Provider Registration and International Student Management System (PRISMS) used to process information given to the Secretary of DESE by registered providers.

Probation: Refers to students at Risk Status 3 whose GPA has fallen below the pass grade of 4 or other unsatisfactory progress as defined in the policy and procedure.

Progression Rules: The progression rates and progression requirements approved by the Academic Board.

Special Consideration: Provisions in these procedures may allow a student to apply for supplementary assessment or some other form of consideration in situations where it can be demonstrated that assessment has been affected by some situation or circumstance beyond the student's control.

Students at Risk Register: The mechanism to record students at risk of unsatisfactory progress and monitor progress.

Summative Assessment: Any assessment used to calculate the final exit grade.

Unit: A unit of study, unit of competency, module or other similar component of a course that has an allocated identification code and is given a result which appears on a student's record.

Unsatisfactory Progress: Defined as failing a unit more than once; achieving a grade point average (GPA) below a pass grade of 4; failing more than 50% of units attempted within a trimester.

6. Related Documents

The following policies and procedures are related to this policy:

- Student Handbook
- Student Code of Conduct
- Student Grievance Policy
- Academic Freedom and Ethical Conduct Policy
- Academic Honesty and Misconduct Policy
- Student Support Policy

Other documents:

- Student Retention Templates
- Students at Risk Register

7. Review

Three years from commencement.

8. Accountabilities

The Academic Board is responsible for review and approval of this policy.

The policy is to be implemented via induction and training of staff and distribution to students and the Conservatory's higher education community via the website and other publications. Performance will be monitored against the following:

- Student retention rates
- Course pass/fail rates
- Student progression
- Student satisfaction
- Graduate destination
- Employer satisfaction

9. Revision History

Approval Authority	Contact Person	Revision Due Date	Revision Date	Approved Date	Version No.	Revision Description
Academic Board	Chair	01/07/19		11/04/2016	1	New document
	DoHE			11/12/2019	1.1	Minor changes
Academic Board	Chair			13/01/2021	2.0	Minor changes
Academic Board	Chair		12/07/21		2.1	Minor changes.
Academic Board	Chair	23/07/24		23/07/21	3.0	Approved

